# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventors:

Scott Musson, Christopher Jolley, George

Murnock, Subrahmanyam Allamaraju, Brodi Beartusk, Robert Bergman, James D'Ambrosia,

Kevin Blair Frender, Stephen Hetzel,

Purushotham Babu Naidu and Sameer Sawant

Application No.10/788,876

Confirm, No.:

7119

Filed:

February 27, 2004

Title: METHOD FOR PROVIDING A GRAPHICAL

USER INTERFACE

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 2173

Customer No.: 23910

### DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION (PRIORITY UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119/§120/§365)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only my name is listed below) or the original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention identified by "Title" above and by the specification identified below (check applicable ones):

The specification: was filed with the above-identified "Appln. No." and "Filed" date (national or PCT international).

I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification including the claims and including any above-identified amendment(s).

I acknowledge a duty to disclose to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability of the application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. Provisional Application(s)

Application No.

Day/Month/Year Filed

a)

60/450,991

February 28, 2003

Page 1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Anomey Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/beas/1378.US0 declaration.wpd

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

(1) Full name first inventor: Scott Musson
(1) Residence: 17747 W. 63rd Place, Arvada, CO 80403
(1) Citizenship: USA  (1) Date: 6/9/2004 Inventor's signature: ************************************
(2) Full name of second joint inventor: Christopher Jolley (2) Residence: 13949 Crestone Circle, Broomfield, CO 80020
(2) Citizenship: USA  (2) Date: 6/10/2004 Inventor's signature:
***************************************
(3) Full name of third joint inventor: George Murnock
(3) Residence: 730 Grandview Meadows Dr. K101, Longmont, CO 80503
(3) Citizenship: U.S.A.  (3) Date: 6 9 04 Inventor's signature: Murus I

Page 2

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/beas/1378.US0 declaration.wpd

FDM&L

Page 3

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/beas/1378.US0 decharation.wpd

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Ø 009/014

(8) Full name of eighth joint inventor: Kevin Blair Frender
(8) Residence: 1324 Carnation Circle, Longmont, CO 80503
(8) Citizenship: U.S.A.
(8) Date: 6/9/04 Inventor's signature: his much
***************************************
(9) Full name of ninth joint inventor: <u>Stephen Hetzel</u>
(9) Residence: 4654 White Rock Circle #10, Boulder, CO 80301
(9) Citizenship: U.S.A.
(9) Date: 6 904 Inventor's signature: S. Nessel
************************
(10) Full name of tenth joint inventor: Purushotham Babu Naidu
(10) Residence: 514 Arbor Drive, Lafayette, Colorado, 80026
(10) Citizenship: India
(10) Date: 6 10 04 Inventor's signature: Date: 100 de la
} ************************************
(11) Full name of eleventh joint inventor: <u>Sameer Sawant</u>
(11) Residence: 3112 Eastwood Court, Boulder, CO 80304
(11) Citizenship: Jndia
(11) Date: 6/10/04 Inventor's signature: Margark
*****************

FDM&L

Page 4

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/beas/1378.US0 declaration.wpd

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Title 35, United States Code, §112 (first puragraph) SECTION 112. SPECIFICATION

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art towhich it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

## Tide 35, United States Code §119 (first paragraph) BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTRY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY

- (a) An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign country which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this country on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign country, if the application in this country is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for patent for an invention which had been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.
- (b) No application for patent shall be entitled to this right of priority unless a claim therefor and a certified copy of the original foreign application, specification, and drawings upon which it is based are filled in the Patent and Trademark Office before the patent is granted, or at such time during the pendency of the application as required by the Commissioner not cartier than six months after be made by the patent office of the foreign country in which filed and show the date of the application and of the filing of the specification and other papers. The Commissioner may require a translation of the papers filed if not in the English language and such other information as he deems necessary.
- (c) In like manner and subject to the same conditions an requirements, the right provided in this section may be based upon a subsequent regularly filed application in the same foreign country instead of the first filed foreign application, provided that any foreign application filed prior to such subsequent application has been withdrawn, abandoned, or otherwise disposed of, without having been

- laid open to public inspection and without leaving any rights outstanding, and has not served, nor thereafter shall serve, as a basis for claiming a right of priority.
- (d) Applications for inventors' certificate filed in a foreign country in which applicants have a right to apply, at their discretion, either for a patent or for an inventor's certificate shall be treated in this country in the same manner and have the same effect for purpose of the right of priority under this section as applications for patents, subject to the same conditions and requirements of this section as apply to applications for patents, provided such applicants are entitled to the benefits of the Stockholm Revision of the Paris Convention at the same time of such filing.
- (e)(1) An application for patent filed under section 111(a) or section 363 of this title for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in a provisional application filed under section 111(b) of this title, by an inventor or inventors named in the provisional application, shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the provisional application filed under section 111(b) of this title, if the application for patent filed under section 111(a) or section 363 of this title is filed not later than 12 months after the date on which the provisional application was filed and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the provisional application.
- (2) A provisional application filed under section 111(b) of this title may not be relied upon in any proceeding in the Patent and Trademark Office unless the fice set forth in subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 41(a)(1) of this title has been paid and the provisional application was pending on the filing date of the application for patent under section 111(a) or section 363 of this title.

Page 5

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/beas/1378.US0 declaration.wpd

#### Title 35, United States Code \$120 SECTION 120. BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

FDM&L

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the meanner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, which is filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the

prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

## Title 35, United States Code §365

#### SECTION 365. RIGHT OF PRIORITY; BENEFIT OF THE FILING DATE OF A PRIOR APPLICATION

- (a) In accordance with the conditions and requirements of subsections (a) and (d) of section 119 of this ritle, a national application shall be entitled to the right of priority based on a prior filed international application which designated at least one country other than the United States.
- (b) In accordance with the conditions and requirements of this section 119(a) of this title and the treaty and the Regulations, an international application designating the United States shall be entitled to the right of priority based on a prior foreign application, or a prior international application designating at least one country other than the United States.
- (c) In accordance with the conditions and requirements of section 120 of this title, an international application designating the United States shall be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior national application or a prior international application designating the United States, and a national application shall be entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a prior international application designating the United States. If any claim for the benefit of an earlier filing date is based on a prior international application which designated but did not originate in the United States, the Commissioner may require the filing in the Patent and Trademark Office of a certified copy of such application together with a translation thereof into the English language, if it was filed in another language.

Page 6

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 \$RM/DJB djb/bcas/1378.U\$0 declaration.wpd

### <u>Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56</u> SECTION 1.56. DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a dury to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98.\* However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facte case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of impatentability relied on by the Office; or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

- A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unparentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantifely involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- \* §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98 relate to the timing and manner in which information is to be submitted to the Office.

Page 7

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01378US0 SRM/DJB djb/bcas/1378.US0 declaration.wpd